


KEY FEATURES (Source: Amundi Group)

Creation date : 18/09/2017
Fund structure : SICAV under Luxembourg law
Directive : UCITS IV
AMF classification : International Equities
Benchmark : 100% MSCI WORLD
PEA eligible : No
Currency : EUR
Type of shares : Capitalization
ISIN code : LU1653750171
Bloomberg code : CPRFGRA LX
Minimum recommended investment horizon : 5 years

Risk & Reward Profile (SRI) (Source: Fund Admin)



Potentially lower return Potentially higher return
 The SRI represents the risk and return profile as presented in the Key Investor Information Document (KIID). The lowest category does not imply that there is no risk. The SRI is not guaranteed and may change over time.

KEY FIGURES (Source: Amundi Group)

Net Asset Value (NAV) : 127.43 (EUR)
Assets Under Management (AUM) : 357.09 (million EUR)
Last coupon : -

KEY PEOPLE (Source: Amundi Group)

Management company : CPR ASSET MANAGEMENT
Custodian / Administrator : CACEIS Bank, Luxembourg Branch / CACEIS Fund Administration Luxembourg

OPERATION & FEES (Source: Amundi Group)

Frequency of NAV calculation : Daily
Order cut-off time : 2pm CET
Execution NAV : D
Subscription Value Date / Redemption Date : D+2 / D+2
Minimum initial subscription : 1 Ten-Thousandth of Share(s)/Equitie(s)
Minimum subsequent subscription : 1 Ten-Thousandth of Share(s)/Equitie(s)
Subscription fee (max) / Redemption fee : 5.00% / 0.00%
Management fees and other administrative or operating costs : 1.31%
Performance fees : Yes


All details are available in the legal documentation

INVESTMENT STRATEGY (Source: Amundi Group)

The compartment's investment objective is to outperform global equity markets over a minimum five-year investment horizon by investing in international equities involved in the entire food value chain (agriculture, forest, water, food and beverage production and distribution, restaurants and all related activities). The investment process takes into account a sustainable approach by excluding certain companies with high Environmental Social and Governance controversies.

ANALYSIS OF THE NET PERFORMANCE (Source: Fund Admin)

CHANGE IN NET ASSET VALUE BASE 100 (Source: Fund Admin)



ANNUALISED PERFORMANCES (Source: Fund Admin) ¹

	YTD	1 month	3 months	1 year	3 years	5 years	Since
Since	31/12/2025	31/03/2026	30/01/2026	30/04/2025	28/04/2023	30/04/2021	18/09/2017
Portfolio	1.81%	-0.22%	0.92%	-4.97%	-2.19%	-1.57%	2.85%
Benchmark	5.80%	7.64%	4.83%	25.16%	17.25%	11.86%	12.40%
Spread	-4.00%	-7.86%	-3.91%	-30.13%	-19.43%	-13.43%	-9.55%

¹ Data corresponding to periods of more than a year are annualised.

ANNUAL PERFORMANCES (Source: Fund Admin) ²

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Portfolio	-11.62%	10.55%	-3.59%	-12.03%	24.35%	1.91%	24.93%	-9.20%	-	-
Benchmark	6.77%	26.60%	19.60%	-12.78%	31.07%	6.33%	30.02%	-4.11%	-	-
Spread	-18.39%	-16.04%	-23.19%	0.74%	-6.72%	-4.42%	-5.08%	-5.09%	-	-

² Performance varies over time and is not a reliable indication of future results. The investments are subject to market fluctuations and may gain or lose value.

RISK ANALYSIS (Source: Fund Admin) ^{*}


	1 year	3 years	5 years	Inception to date [*]
Portfolio volatility	10.48%	9.87%	10.63%	13.26%
Benchmark volatility	10.34%	12.12%	12.94%	15.07%
Portfolio Information ratio	-2.53	-1.98	-1.53	-1.22
Tracking Error ex-post	11.36%	10.11%	8.90%	7.68%

^{*} Annualised data

The investor's should take into account all the features or objectives of the fund before deciding to invest in it. There is no guarantee that the ESG considerations will improve the investment strategy or performance of a fund.


PORTFOLIO BREAKDOWN (Source: Amundi Group)

SECTOR BREAKDOWN (Source: Amundi Group) *




* % of assets

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN (Source: Amundi Group)



BREAKDOWN BY CURRENCY (Source: Amundi Group) **



** As a percentage of the assets - including currency hedging

ANALYSIS RATIOS

(Source : Groupe Amundi)

	Portfolio	Benchmark
Average market Cap (Bn €)	51.54	929.56
% Mid Caps + Small Caps	85.41	25.48
% Large Caps	14.59	74.52
Per 12 Month forward	15.70	19.12
Price to Book	2.41	3.85
Price to Cash Flow	10.60	16.68
Dividend Yield (%)	2.64	1.57
Annualized EPS Growth (n/n+2) (%)	10.68	15.00
Annualized Revenue Growth (n/n+2) (%)	4.56	10.50

Issuer number (excluding cash)	60
Cash as % of total assets	3.02%

MAIN POSITIONS IN PORTFOLIO

(Source: Amundi Group) *

	Sector	Weight	Spread / Index
GEA GROUP AG	Industrials	4.47%	4.46%
UNILEVER PLC (GBP)	Consumer Staples	3.37%	3.23%
CANADIAN PACIFIC KANSAS CITY USD	Industrials	3.23%	3.14%
DANONE	Consumer Staples	2.91%	2.85%
KERRY GROUP PLC-A	Consumer Staples	2.85%	2.84%
PENTAIR PLC	Industrials	2.76%	2.74%
KONINKLIJKE AHOLD DELHAIZE	Consumer Staples	2.75%	2.70%
SYSCO CORP	Consumer Staples	2.73%	2.69%
KROGER CO	Consumer Staples	2.71%	2.67%
SAINSBURY (J) PLC	Consumer Staples	2.53%	2.52%

* Excluding mutual funds

TEAM MANAGEMENT

**Stéphane Soussan**

Portfolio Manager

**Anne Le Borgne**

Co-Portfolio Manager

MANAGER'S COMMENT

April 2026 was marked by a ceasefire between the United States and Iran, announced by Donald Trump on April 7. This triggered a global rebound in risky asset markets. While the ceasefire was generally respected by the belligerents, the situation did not improve in the Strait of Hormuz as the United States implemented its own maritime blockade in addition to the one imposed by Iran. As a result, oil and gas prices, which had fallen following the ceasefire, rose again at the end of the month.

In the eurozone, inflation accelerated again in April, reaching +3%, due to rising energy prices, while core inflation slowed to 2.2%. In the United States as well, inflation accelerated due to higher pump prices, reaching 3.3% in March, its highest level since April 2024. Activity indicators were generally disappointing on both sides of the Atlantic, with the eurozone composite PMI falling back into contraction territory in April (48.6) and US GDP coming in at 2% quarter-on-quarter annualized in Q1. In Japan, there was a sharp pullback in surveys following the conflict in Iran, but the impact on inflation remains limited for now. With 5% growth in Q1, Chinese authorities confirmed a strong start to the year for the Chinese economy, although it remains fragile and highly unbalanced.

Several major central banks held their monetary policy committees and none decided to change their rate policies. On the Fed side, it was Jerome Powell's last press conference as the confirmation process for Kevin Warsh was unblocked during the month. The FOMC maintained its accommodative bias, but more members now support a more neutral stance due to accelerating inflation. On the ECB side, Christine Lagarde indicated that the Governing Council members debated a possible rate hike but preferred to wait for more indicators, particularly those that would support the emergence of second-round effects, before making such a decision. The BoJ also announced it would take its time to continue adjusting its rate policy.

In equity markets, the rebound was spectacular. The technology sector drove indices higher. The S&P 500 ended April up 10.4%, reaching a new all-time high. The increase was even stronger for the Nikkei and the MSCI Emerging Markets, at +16.1% and +14.5% respectively. In contrast, European markets underperformed, with the Eurostoxx 600 rising only 4.8%.

Bond yields generally followed the evolution of oil prices, falling after the ceasefire announcement and then rising again in the second half of the month. Ultimately, 10-year US and German yields ended April roughly where they started, at 4.39% and 3% respectively. In Japan, long-term yields rose slightly, with the 10-year rate finishing the month at 2.47%. In Europe, credit spreads narrowed over the month, returning close to levels prevailing before the war in Iran. The price of gold ended the month roughly unchanged.

Food for Generations remained relatively stable in April. The Water and Waste sector advanced significantly thanks to Andritz (strong order intake), Kurita Water, and United Utilities (capital increase to finance additional investments). However, there was a noticeable decline for Pentair, which reported results in line with expectations but cautious outlooks.

Food Products, Food Retail, and Restaurants remained stable over the month. In Food Products, strong Q1 earnings from Glanbia, Unilever, and Kerry Group were offset by disappointments from Abbott Laboratories and Smurfit Kappa in packaging. In Restaurants, Sodexo announced 2026 outlooks well below expectations following contract losses. We sold our position.

The Agriculture sector declined slightly, with drops in Pilgrim's Pride (livestock) following weak results and fertilizer producers (Mosaic, CF Industries) only partially offset by gains in agricultural machinery (Kubota, Deere).


In the Beverages sector, gains in Keurig Dr Pepper (strong Q1 sales growth) were offset by a sharp decline in Tomra (recycling) following weak Q1 results and more uncertain prospects.

In Food Products, we favor ingredient companies that maintain strong sales momentum as well as producers outside the United States, as the U.S. market remains challenging. In Food Retail, the dynamic is different, with activity in the United States remaining well-oriented, supported by the ability to pass inflation on to suppliers and customers and the development of private label brands. In Agriculture, salmon farming should benefit from a rise in selling prices in 2026. While salmon production increased significantly in 2025, its growth is expected to be very limited in 2026, supporting the rise in selling prices. The agricultural machinery market has been declining since 2023. After several months of production decline, inventory levels have normalized. The market has therefore stabilized and should reach its bottom in 2026. The European market is even expected to rebound as early as 2026. This should support this segment in the stock market. In the Water and Waste sector, our main positions are in water treatment companies that should benefit from the need to modernize water networks and improve water quality and recycling.

PORTFOLIO IMPACT REPORT


CARBON INTENSITY

Total carbon intensity (Portfolio/Index) : 233.26 / 138.70



This indicator measures the average emissions in metric tonnes of carbon equivalent per company's revenue. This is an indicator of the carbon intensity of the value chain of the companies in the portfolio..

WASTE RECYCLING RATIO



This indicator measures the ratio of recycled waste over total produced waste.


DATA COVERAGE

	Portfolio	Benchmark
Coverage rate	99.89%	99.28%

	Portfolio	Benchmark	Universe
Water intensity	94.27%	83.18%	81.42%
Waste recycling ratio	83.47%	71.46%	68.83%

This measurement corresponds to the portion of private issuers for which we have environmental data as a percentage of all private issuers.

WATER INTENSITY



This indicator measures the portfolio's total water withdrawal in cubic meters divided by net sales in EUR. This is an indicator of the water intensity generated by the companies in this portfolio

Sources and definitions

The carbon emissions data is supplied by Trucost. It corresponds to companies' annual emissions expressed in tCO2e, which covers the six greenhouse gases defined in the Kyoto protocol whose emissions are converted into global warming potential (GWP) in CO2 equivalent

Definition of scopes:


- **Scope 1** : all emissions that arise directly from sources that are owned or controlled by the company.
- **Scope 2** : all indirect emissions generated by the purchase or production of electricity, steam or heat.
- **Scope 3** : all other indirect emissions, upstream and downstream of the value chain. For reasons of data robustness, Amundi has chosen to use emissions from activities upstream of Scope 3
- Source: Trucost EEIO model (input/output model extended to the Trucost environment).

Waste recycling ratio and water intensity: source Reuters

AVERAGE ESG RATING (source : Amundi)

Environmental, social and governance rating

ESG Investment Universe: 100% INDEX CPR EQT FOOD



Investment Portfolio Score: 0.64

ESG Investment Universe Score¹: 0.11

ESG Coverage (source: Amundi) *

	Portfolio	ESG Investment Universe
Percentage with an Amundi ESG rating ²	100.00%	99.40%
Percentage that can have an ESG rating ³	97.79%	100.00%

* Securities that can be rated on ESG criteria. The total may be different from 100% to reflect the real exposure of the portfolio (cash included).

ESG Terminology

ESG criteria

The criteria are extra-financial criteria used to assess the Environmental, Social and Governance practices of companies, states or local authorities:

"E" for Environment (energy and gas consumption levels, water and waste management, etc.).

"S" for Social/Society (respect for human rights, health and safety in the workplace, etc.).

"G" for Governance (independence of board of directors, respect for shareholders' rights, etc.)

ESG Rating

The issuer's ESG rating: each issuer is assessed on the basis of ESG criteria and obtains a quantitative score, the scale of which is based on the sector average. The score is translated into a rating on a scale from A (highest rating) to G (lowest rating). The Amundi methodology provides for a comprehensive, standardised and systematic analysis of issuers across all investment regions and asset classes (equities, bonds, etc.).

ESG rating of the investment universe and the portfolio: the portfolio and the investment universe are given an ESG score and an ESG rating (from A to G). The ESG score corresponds to the weighted average of the issuers' scores, calculated according to their relative weighting in the investment universe or in the portfolio, excluding liquid assets and non-rated issuers.

Amundi ESG Mainstreaming

In addition to complying with Amundi Responsible Investment Policy⁴, Amundi ESG Mainstreaming portfolios have an ESG performance objective that aims to achieve a portfolio ESG score above the ESG score of their ESG Investment universe.

¹ The investment universe reference is defined by either the fund's reference indicator or an index representative of the ESG-related investable universe.

² Percentage of securities with an Amundi ESG rating out of the total portfolio (measured in weight) that can be related.

³ Percentage of securities for which an ESG rating methodology is applicable out of total portfolio (measured in weight).

⁴ The updated document is available at <https://www.amundi.com/int/ESG>.

Sustainability Level (source : Morningstar)



The sustainability level is a rating produced by Morningstar that aims to independently measure the level of responsibility of a fund based on the values in the portfolio. The rating ranges from very low (1 Globe) to very high (5 Globes).

Source Morningstar ©

Sustainability Score - based on corporate ESG risk analysis provided by Sustainalytics used in the calculation of Morningstar's sustainability score.

© 2026 Morningstar. All rights reserved. The information contained here: (1) is owned by Morningstar and / or its content providers; (2) may not be reproduced or redistributed; and (3) are not guaranteed to be accurate, complete or timely. Neither Morningstar nor its content providers are responsible for any damages or losses arising from the use of this information. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. For more information on the Morningstar Rating, please see their website www.morningstar.com.

SRI Terminology

Socially Responsible Investment (SRI)

The SRI expresses sustainable development objectives in investment decisions by adding Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) criteria in addition to the traditional financial criteria.

SRI thus aims to balance economic performance and social and environmental impact by financing companies and public entities which contribute to sustainable development whatever their business sector. By influencing the governance and behaviour of stakeholders, SRI promotes a responsible economy.

ESG criteria

The criteria are extra-financial criteria used to assess the Environmental, Social and Governance practices of companies, states or local authorities:

"E" for Environment (energy and gas consumption levels, water and waste management, etc.).

"S" for Social/Society (respect for human rights, health and safety in the workplace, etc.).

"G" for Governance (independence of board of directors, respect for shareholders' rights, etc.)

ESG rating scale

Rating scale from A (best score) to G (worst score)




AVERAGE ESG RATING (source : Amundi)

Environmental, social and governance rating

ESG Benchmark

100% INDEX CPR EQT FOOD

Portfolio Breakdown by ESG Rating¹



ESG Scores and Ratings

	Portfolio	Benchmark
E Score	0.42	0.04
S Score	0.48	0.05
G Score	0.59	0.18
ESG Score	0.63	0.11
ESG Rating c.	C	D

Coverage of ESG¹ analysis (Source: Amundi)

Number of issuers in the portfolio	61
% of the portfolio with an ESG rating ²	100%

ISR Label



Sustainability Level (source : Morningstar)



The sustainability level is a rating produced by Morningstar that aims to independently measure the level of responsibility of a fund based on the values in the portfolio. The rating ranges from very low (1 Globe) to very high (5 Globes).

MorningstarSustainabilityRatingDate : 28/02/2026

Source Morningstar ©

Sustainability Score - based on corporate ESG risk analysis provided by Sustainalytics used in the calculation of Morningstar's sustainability score.

© 2026 Morningstar. All rights reserved. The information contained here: (1) is owned by Morningstar and / or its content providers; (2) may not be reproduced or redistributed; and (3) are not guaranteed to be accurate, complete or timely. Neither Morningstar nor its content providers are responsible for any damages or losses arising from the use of this information. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. For more information on the Morningstar Rating, please see their website www.morningstar.com.


¹ Outstanding securities in terms of ESG criteria excluding cash assets.

The decision of the investor to invest in the promoted fund should take into account all the characteristics or objectives of the fund.

Focus on Environmental, Social and Governance key performance indicators

In addition to the overall ESG assessment of the portfolio and the E, S and G dimensions, the manager uses impact indicators to assess the ESG quality of his portfolio. Four representative indicators of Environment, Social, Human Rights and Governance have been identified. The manager's minimum objective is to deliver a quality score higher than that of the index on at least two of the indicators.


ENVIRONMENT:Carbon intensity



GHG intensity of investee companies – tCO2eq/€m de revenus
Source : Trucost

Coverage rate (Portfolio/Benchmark) 99.87% 99.10%


ENVIRONMENT:Investments in companies without carbon emissions reduction initiatives (%)



Share of investments in investee companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives aimed at aligning with the Paris Agreement
Source:SBTI, CDP


Coverage rate (Portfolio/Benchmark) 100% 100%

GOVERNANCE:Independent board members



Coverage rate (Portfolio/Benchmark) 99.31% 99.40%

RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS: decent work and freedom of association



Coverage rate (Portfolio/Benchmark) 99.31% 99.40%

Sources and definitions

Carbon Intensity:PAI 3 measures carbon emissions expressed in million euros (€ million) of revenue. The Greenhouse Gas intensity of the relevant portfolio is determined by calculating the portfolio weighted average of the total greenhouse gas emissions intensity per million euros of sales of the companies in the portfolio (t/EUR million sales).

Amundi relies on the data provider S&P-Trucost, whose methodology is based on the Greenhouse Gas Protocol:

Scope 1: Direct emissions generated by resources owned or controlled by the company.

Scope 2: Indirect emissions generated by the purchase or production of electricity, steam, or heat.

Scope 3: All other indirect emissions, both upstream and downstream of the value chain. For data robustness reasons, we have chosen to use emissions from upstream Scope 3 activities.

Investments in companies that have not taken initiatives to reduce their carbon emissions:The extent of investments in companies without carbon emissions reduction initiatives in the relevant portfolio is assessed by calculating the sum of the weights of these companies in the relevant portfolio that don't have submitted Science-Based Target (SBT) or CDP target. The PAI indicator uses the "relevant" approach, meaning that the denominator is determined by considering the relevant portfolio. The weights of the portfolio are adjusted to account for incomplete data coverage.

Independent board members:Board independence. average percentage of independent directors on the Board of Directors. Data provider: Refinitiv

Human rights policy:Board independence. average percentage of independent directors on the Board of Directors. Data provider: Refinitiv"