

**SFDR Annex 30 - Pre-contractual disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852**

**Product name:**  
CPR INVEST – Montpensier M Sport Solutions

**Legal entity identifier:**  
213800H3NT2TZ88LIN68

**Sustainable investment** means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

## Environmental and/or social characteristics

**Does this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?**



Yes



**X** No

☐ It will make a minimum of **sustainable investments with an environmental objective**: \_\_\_\_%

☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

☐ It will make a minimum of **sustainable investments with a social objective**: \_\_\_\_%

**X** It **promotes Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it does not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it will have a minimum proportion of 63.75% of sustainable investments



with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy



with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy



with a social objective



It promotes E/S characteristics, but **will not make sustainable investments**



### What environmental and/or social characteristics are promoted by this financial product?

The Compartment will invest at least 85% of its net assets in units in the EB-unit of M Sport Solutions SRI, a French Mutual Investment Fund authorised by the Autorité des Marchés Financiers as a UCITS and managed by Montpensier Arbevel (the “Master Fund”). Therefore, the environmental and social characteristics of the Compartment shall be read in conjunction with the ones of the Master Fund.

The Master Fund integrates sustainability factors into its investment process, as set out in more detail below and in the “Investment Strategy” section of the Prospectus.

In taking account of ESG criteria in the Master Fund the objective is to combine financial performance with the wish to exert positive influence, insofar as possible, on issuers in terms of ESG performances, by encouraging companies to move ahead with the integration of ESG criteria in their activities, thus attributing value to good practices.

**Sustainability indicators** measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained

### What sustainability indicators are used to measure the attainment of each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product?

- The extra-financial approach implemented by the Master Fund is based on the integration of extra-financial criteria as from the definition of the investment universe. This forms part of the ESG policy implemented by the management company of the Master Fund, which is available on its website.

The approach to taking account of non-financial criteria is a “selectivity” approach based on the proprietary four-stage SRI analytical method developed by Montpensier Arbevel, the Master Fund’s management company, and is aimed at mitigating the sustainability risks, which it cannot however guarantee to have entirely neutralised:

1. Exclusion of companies involved in controversial activities (for more details, see the Master Fund’s Transparency Code, available on the Management Company’s website):
  - Companies involved directly (in the manufacture, sale of components, services, etc.) or indirectly (via a subsidiary or parent company) in activities involving the following controversial weapons: blinding laser weapons, incendiary weapons and non-locatable shrapnel weapons, biological and chemical weapons and depleted uranium, are excluded from the investment universe;
  - Companies where more than 10% of turnover is related to activities listed below are also excluded from the Master Fund’s investment universe:

- The manufacture of nuclear and conventional weapons, their components or associated systems,
  - Coal extraction, and more specifically the extraction and sale of thermal coal (lignite, bitumen, anthracite, etc.), which does not include metallurgical coal (also known as coking coal or steelmaking coal),
  - Unconventional oil and gas extraction (oil sands, shale oil and gas, coal seam gas),
  - Tobacco,
  - Adult entertainment,
  - Gambling activities,
  - GMOs, and
  - Palm oil
2. Exclusion of companies rated 'CCC' or having a red ESG controversy flag from MSCI ESG Research. These 'Red' controversies notably include companies that do not comply with the United Nations Global Compact (Human Rights, Labour Rights, Environment and Corruption);
  3. Analysis of the governance practices of the businesses in accordance with the proprietary Montpensier Governance Flag (MGF) method, the purpose of which is to evaluate the alignment of interests among management, shareholders and more generally all stakeholders. It is based on a list of sub-criteria grouped around 4 areas of analysis: Board (degree of independence of the board of directors, presence of women on the board of directors), Compensation (transparency of remuneration criteria), Shareholder structure (presence of a majority shareholder, etc.) and Accounting practices (opinion of the auditors on the financial statements, etc.) which allow us to determine three MGF statuses: 'Pass', 'Watchlist' or 'Fail'. All businesses identified as 'Fail' are excluded;
  4. Analysis of companies' impact on the environment and on society in accordance with the proprietary Montpensier Impact Assessment (MIA) method based on the 17 SDGs of the UN, grouped into 2 transitions: Ecological Transition and Solidarity Transition, then according to 4 impact themes : Environment and Resources for Ecological Transition, Inclusion and Essential Needs for Solidarity Transition. This is based on a list of sub-criteria (carbon footprint, waste management, employee satisfaction, number of patients treated, etc.) supplemented by other indicators (fossil fuel activities, proportion of non-renewable energy in consumption and production, compliance with the principles of the United Nations Global Compact, etc.). This method allows us to determine three levels of MIA impact MIA: positive, neutral and negative. All businesses with a negative MIA impact are excluded.

By way of reminder, the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) defined by the UN are a universal call to action to eliminate poverty, protect the planet and improve the daily life of everyone worldwide while at the same time opening up future prospects. The 17 Sustainable Development Goals were adopted in 2015 by all the United Nations Member States.

Investors have a decisive part to play in attaining these Goals. While some SDGs do not directly concern investment activities, others are particularly pertinent. Notable among these is SDG 12: “Responsible consumption and production”. This objective brings together themes dear to responsible businesses, such as energy efficiency, sustainable procurement and more generally the circular economy. Other contributions by investors may concern for example SDG 9, “Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure”, SDG 13, “Climate Action”, or SDG 11, “Sustainable Cities and Communities”, which includes for example the renovation of buildings to make them energy-efficient, and sustainable.

● ***What are the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially intends to make and how does the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?***

At least 90% of the securities in the portfolio of the Master Fund, excluding bonds and other debt securities issued by public or quasi-public issuers, and cash held on an ancillary basis, are hedged according to the ESG criteria defined below.

The Master Fund undertakes to hold a minimum of 90% of Sustainable Investments as indicated below. These investments will be used to meet the environmental or social characteristics promoted in accordance with the binding elements of the investment strategy.

Montpensier Arbevel’s methodology for identifying sustainable investments is based on the following components:

- The analysis of the contribution to a sustainability objective, which is based on a best effort approach, with the objective of selecting the “best performers” (or eliminating the “worst performers”) on the basis of E and/or S criteria, as well as an approach via the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and an approach of

exposure to sustainable activities, which is based on an internal definition of “activities considered sustainable”;

- The analysis of the Do No Significant Harm (DNSH) criterion, which is based on exclusions, Principal Adverse Impacts (PAI) and the assessment of controversies. In addition, securities with a negative MIA environmental or social impact, or a negative impact on the E and S pillars, are also assessed as not meeting the DNSH criteria;
- The analysis of compliance with the principles of good governance, which is based on both exclusions and the assessment of controversies.

Thus, a security is considered a “sustainable investment” if it meets the above three conditions.

The assessment of the contribution to a sustainability goal is based on the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which we assess by:

- ✓ Value analysis using our proprietary Montpensier Impact Assessment (MIA) methodology, which is based on the 17 UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and identifies and analyses the impact of companies on the environment and society.  
We measure a positive or negative impact for each type of transition; a total impact score is then obtained using the aggregate impact per transition type. The overall impact score is the MIA impact.  
We assume that companies with a positive or neutral MIA impact contribute positively to a sustainability objective.
- ✓ And/or by the analysis of eco-activities, which determines the contribution to one or more of the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).  
In this way, we evaluate the Green Share of companies - i.e. the percentage of revenues generated by activities that contribute positively to the climate transition (i.e. 8 “eco-activities” defined by the Greenfin label). These 8 eco-activities are classified into 3 main transition vectors: 1/ Responsible Energy, 2/ Preservation of the Earth’s Capital and 3/ Efficient Transport.  
We assume that companies identified as Type I or II, according to the definition of the Greenfin label, contribute positively to a sustainability objective.

Thus, if a security makes at least one positive contribution, it is considered a “sustainable investment”, provided that it does not make a negative contribution; on the other hand, if a security makes a negative contribution, it is considered an “unsustainable investment”

**Principal adverse impact** are the most significant negative impacts of investment decision on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

● **How do the sustainable investments that the financial product partially intends to make, not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?**

The analysis at level of the Master Fund of the "do no significant harm" (DNSH) criterion is based on exclusions, Main Negative Impacts (PAI) and the assessment of controversies. In addition, securities whose impact on MIA on ecology or solidarity impact or on the E and S pillars is negative are also assessed as not respecting the DNSH criterion.

– **How have the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors been taken into account?**

The management company of the Master Fund takes into account the 14 main indicators of negative impacts in the policy of sectoral and normative exclusions, analysis of good governance practices (using the proprietary Montpensier Governance Flag – MGF), the proprietary qualitative analysis of the contribution of companies to environmental and solidarity transitions (Montpensier Impact Assessment – MIA, Montpensier Industry Contributor – MIC), and/or in the analysis of eco- activities that determines the contribution to one or more UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

The relevance and coverage of these indicators in analysis vary depending on the sector, industry and region in which each company operates. Below is a non-exhaustive list of the main negative impacts that can be taken into account in the Master Fund management company's extra-financial analysis :

### CLIMATE INDICATORS AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS

|                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Greenhouse gas emissions | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. GHG emissions</li> <li>2. Carbon footprint</li> <li>3. GHG intensity of investee companies</li> <li>4. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector</li> <li>5. Share of consumption and production of non-renewable energy</li> <li>6. Intensity of energy consumption by sector with high climate impact</li> </ol> |
| Biodiversity             | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>7. Activities negatively impacting biodiversity-sensitive areas</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |

|       |                                                   |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Water | 8. Releases to water                              |
| Waste | 9. Ratio of hazardous waste and radioactive waste |

### INDICATORS RELATED TO SOCIAL ISSUES, STAFF, RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS AND THE FIGHT AGAINST CORRUPTION AND ACTS OF CORRUPTION

|                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Social and personnel issues | <p>10. Violations of the principles of the United Nations Global Compact and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises</p> <p>11. Lack of compliance processes and mechanisms to monitor compliance with the principles of the UN Global Compact and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises</p> <p>12. Uncorrected gender pay gap</p> <p>13. Mixity within governance bodies</p> <p>14. Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons or biological weapons)</p> |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

***– How are the sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:***

The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights are integrated into the Master Fund's management company's ESG methodology.

The Master Fund's management company, Montpensier Arbevel, excludes from the investment universe companies that are not aligned with certain international standards and conventions, in particular the principles of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC), the conventions of the International Labor Organization (ILO) and the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPHR).

Controversies are tracked weekly via the MSCI ESG Controversies search. Securities subject to a "Red" controversy are excluded from the investment universe of the Master Fund. Among these "Red" controversies, Montpensier Arbevel finds in particular companies that are not in line with the United Nations Global Compact (Human Rights, Labor Rights, Environment and Corruption).

*The EU Taxonomy sets out a 'do not significant harm' principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific EU criteria.*

*The 'do not significant harm' principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.*

*Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.*



### Does this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

- ☒ Yes, the management company of the Master Fund considers all the mandatory Principal Adverse Impacts applicable to the Master Fund's strategy and relies on a combination of exclusion policies (normative and sectoral), integration of ESG analysis in the process investment, engagement and voting approaches.
- ☐ No



### What investment strategy does this financial product follow?

**The investment strategy** guides investment decisions based on factors such as investment objectives and risk tolerance.

The Master Fund's objective is to participate in the upside and downside movements of global equity markets by investing at least 50% of the Master Fund's net assets in equities of companies whose activities are exposed to the sports and wellness ecosystem, integrating ESG criteria in the selection and analysis process of the securities in the portfolio.

The Master Fund integrates sustainability factors into its investment process. The non-financial approach implemented is presented in the UCITS Transparency Code available on the Master Fund's management company's website ([www.montpensier-Arbevel.com](http://www.montpensier-Arbevel.com))

The Master Fund's investment strategy aims to select companies that have been identified by the portfolio manager as participating, directly or indirectly, in the sports and wellness ecosystem.

The Master Fund is exposed to a minimum of 75% in equities, up to 100% of its net assets. Exposure is to equities of all sizes, in all sectors, with no geographical allocation. Exposure to small-cap stocks (i.e. with a market capitalization of less than 1.5 billion euros) may reach 25% of the Master Fund's net assets, and exposure to emerging market equities is limited to 40% of the Master Fund's net assets.

The Master Fund may invest up to 100% of its net assets in listed equities; and up to 25% of its net assets in similar securities (investment certificates, etc.).

The Master Fund may also invest in derivatives, futures or options, for hedging or exposure purposes.

The Master Fund may also be exposed to interest-rate risk up to a maximum of 25% through investment in bonds and interest-rate products issued by public or private issuers, or in interest-rate derivatives. The issuers may be considered by the Master Fund management company to be speculative (high yield).

The Master Fund may also invest up to 25% of its net assets in convertible bonds and similar securities.

No rating or duration criteria are imposed on the Master Fund's manager.

The management company of the Master Fund carries out its own analysis to assess the credit quality of these assets, and neither mechanically nor exclusively relies on ratings provided by rating agencies, and implements a credit risk analysis and procedures enabling portfolio management decisions to be made.

As part of its cash management strategy, the Master Fund may invest up to 10% of its net assets in debt securities and money market instruments.

The Master Fund may invest a maximum of 10% of its net assets in UCIs.

The Master Fund may be exposed to currency risk, excluding the euro, in all currencies up to 100% of the Master Fund's net assets, which may or may not be hedged, in whole or in part, by forward currency transactions traded over-the-counter traded with a credit institution.

● ***What are the binding elements of the investment strategy used to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product?***

The Goal of the Master Fund is to implement a responsible investment approach which aims to exclude from the investment universe companies that are not compatible with the extra-financial criteria defined by the management company.

The extra-financial analysis carried out by the Master Fund will make it possible to define a list of excluded stocks representing 20% of the investment universe of the potentially investable UCITS.

The exclusion policy is therefore applied to the Master Fund as soon as the investment universe is defined:

1. Exclusion of underlying companies involved in controversial activities: arms manufacturing, coal mining, tobacco, adult entertainment, gambling, GMOs and palm oil;
2. Exclusion of underlying companies rated strictly below "CCC" or "Vigilance Controversy Red" by MSCI ESG Research. These 'Red' controversies include companies that do not comply with the United Nations Global Compact (Human Rights, Labour Rights, Environment and Corruption).
3. An analysis of corporate governance using the proprietary "MGF - Montpensier Governance Flag" method, which focuses on good corporate governance practices, using a methodical, relative and evolving assessment grid over time, enabling us to exclude stocks for which an MFG "Fail" flag has been determined
4. An analysis of companies' contributions to environmental and social change using the proprietary "MIA - Montpensier Impact Assessment" method, based on the 17 UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and a Best in Universe approach. This analysis enables us to determine where companies stand on environmental and social issues, taking into account their sector of activity and the progress they are making. Underlying companies with a negative contribution are excluded.

The data used by the Master Fund is mainly provided by MSCI ESG Research and may be supplemented,

modified or updated by Montpensier Arbevel from other sources.

At least 90% of the securities in the portfolio, excluding bonds and other debt securities issued by public or quasi-public issuers, and cash held on an ancillary basis, are hedged according to the ESG criteria defined above.

Investors should note that extra-financial analysis is not performed to the same standards as for other investments on cash, cash equivalents, and derivatives used for hedging purposes, and that it may not be possible to carry out a non-financial analysis on certain collective investment undertakings, according to the same standards as for other investments. Thus, the extra-financial analysis methodology will not include cash, cash equivalents, derivatives for hedging purpose, and certain mutual funds.

All the securities in portfolio, with the exception of the instruments mentioned above, are analyzed using a dual ESG and fundamental approach

● ***What is the committed minimum rate to reduce the scope of the investments considered prior to the application of that investment strategy?***

The exclusion process of the Master Fund reduces the investment universe by at least 20% compared to the initial universe, in accordance with the SRI label

● ***What is the policy to assess good governance practices of the investee companies?***

The management company of the Master fund implements an analysis of corporate governance practices according to the proprietary Montpensier Governance Flag (MGF) method, the objective of which is to assess the alignment of interests between executives, shareholders and more generally all stakeholders. It is based on a list of sub-criteria divided around 4 axes of analysis:

- board (rate of independence of the board of directors, presence of women on the board of directors, etc.),
- remuneration (transparency of remuneration criteria, etc.),
- shareholder structure (presence of majority shareholder, etc.), and
- accounting practices (opinion of financial auditors on company accounts, etc.),

**Good governance**  
practices include  
sound management  
structures,  
employees' relations,  
remuneration of staff  
and tax compliance.

The management company of the Master Fund applies a quantitative identification filter which makes it possible to identify the stocks which present weaknesses with regard to the four pillars analyzed. This identification of values gives rise to an additional qualitative analysis that can lead to their maintenance in the final universe. This analysis also allows us to determine 3 FGM statuses: "Pass", "Watchlist" or "Fail". All companies identified as "Fail" are excluded.



### What is the asset allocation planned for this financial product?

**Asset allocation** describes the share of investments in specific assets.


**Taxonomy-aligned activities** are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies
- **capital expenditure** (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy
- **operational expenditure** (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

The Compartment undertakes to have – through its Master Fund- a minimum of 76.5% % of Sustainable Investments (#1A Sustainable) as indicated in the table below.

The investments of the Master Fund will be used to meet the environmental or social characteristics pursued, in accordance with the binding elements of the investment strategy, when they integrate sustainability factors by excluding any issuer specified in the exclusion list described in the Master Fund's Transparency Code available on [www.montpensier-arbevel.com](http://www.montpensier-arbevel.com).

Investors should note that it may not be possible to perform ESG analysis to the same standards as for other investments on cash, cash equivalents and derivatives for hedging purpose. The asset allocation figures shown above reflect the pre-contractual minimums expressed as a percentage of the Compartment's net assets.



**#2Other** includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:

- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers sustainable investments with environmental or social objectives.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

● ***How does the use of derivatives attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product?***

Derivative products are not used by the Master Fund to achieve the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the UCITS.

In practice, the Master Fund does not use derivatives.



**To what minimum extent are sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?**

The Master Fund currently has no minimum commitment to sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy.

● ***Does the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy<sup>1</sup>?***

Through its Master Fund:

☒ Yes

☒ In fossil gas

☒ In nuclear energy

☐ No

The Master Fund may hold taxonomy-aligned investments in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy but does not take a minimum commitment on these aspects or on the taxonomy in general.


<sup>1</sup> Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective – see explanatory note in the left-hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For **nuclear energy**, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

**Enabling activities** directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

**Transitional activities** are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

*The two graphs below show in green the minimum percentage of investments that are aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the Taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds\*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.*



\* For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures.

At present, the Master Fund is committed to aligning its portfolio with the minimum European taxonomy of 0%. However, it is likely to hold investments in activities qualified as Sustainable within the meaning of the Taxonomy Regulation.

● ***What is the minimum share of investments in transitional and enabling activities?***

The Master Fund has no minimum proportion of investment in transitional or enabling activities.

 are environmentally sustainable investments that do not take into account the criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities under the EU Taxonomy



**What is the minimum share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?**

The Master Fund has not defined minimum commitment of Sustainable Investments with an environmental objective with no commitment on their alignment with the EU Taxonomy.



**What is the minimum share of socially sustainable investments?**

The Master Fund undertakes to have a minimum of 75 % of socially sustainable investments.



**What investments are included under “#2 Other”, what is their purpose and are there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?**

Cash, cash equivalents for treasury purpose as well as derivatives used for hedging purpose are included in “#2 Other”.



**Is a specific index designated as a reference benchmark to determine whether this financial product is aligned with the environmental and/or social characteristics that it promotes?**

This Master Fund does not have a specific index designated as a reference benchmark to determine whether this financial product is aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that it promotes.

**Reference benchmarks** are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote

- ***How is the reference benchmark continuously aligned with each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product?***

N/A

- ***How is the alignment of the investment strategy with the methodology of the index ensured on a continuous basis?***

N/A

- ***How does the designated index differ from a relevant broad market index?***

N/A

- ***Where can the methodology used for the calculation of the designated index be found?***

N/A



**Where can I find more product specific information online?**

More product-specific information can be found on the website: [www.cpram.com](http://www.cpram.com)